

**Application for the review of a premises licence or club premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003**

**PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST**

Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form. If you are completing this form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure that your answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink. Use additional sheets if necessary.

You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

I Police Sergeant 1506 Anthony John Bushell

*(Insert name of applicant)*

**apply for the review of a premises licence under section 51 of the Licensing Act 2003 for the premises described in Part 1 below (delete as applicable)**

**Part 1 – Premises or club premises details**

<b>Postal address of premises or, if none, ordnance survey map reference or description</b> Singh's Stores 103-105 Bannister Drive Leyland	
<b>Post town</b>	<b>Post code (if known)</b> PR25 2GD

<b>Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate (if known)</b> Bhlihar Singh
--

<b>Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known)</b> PREMA0026
--

**Part 2 - Applicant details**

I am

Please tick yes

- 1) an interested party (please complete (A) or (B) below)
- a) a person living in the vicinity of the premises
  - b) a body representing persons living in the vicinity of the premises
  - c) a person involved in business in the vicinity of the premises
  - d) a body representing persons involved in business in the vicinity of the premises
- 2) a responsible authority (please complete (C) below)

3) a member of the club to which this application relates (please complete (A)  below)

**(A) DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICANT (fill in as applicable)**

Please tick

Mr  Mrs  Miss  Ms  Other title  
(for example, Rev)

Surname

First names

I am 18 years old or over

Please tick yes

Current postal  
address if  
different from  
premises  
address

Post town

Post Code

Daytime contact telephone number

E-mail address  
(optional)

**(B) DETAILS OF OTHER APPLICANT**

Name and address

Telephone number (if any)

E-mail address (optional)

**(C) DETAILS OF RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY APPLICANT**

Name and address Sergeant 1506 Anthony Bushell Lancashire Constabulary Southern Division Licensing Unit Chorley Police Station St Thomas Road Chorley Lancashire PR7 1DR
Telephone number (if any) 01257 246227
E-mail address (optional) anthony.bushell@lancashire.pnn.police.uk

**This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)**

Please tick one or more boxes

- 1) the prevention of crime and disorder
- 2) public safety
- 3) the prevention of public nuisance
- 4) the protection of children from harm

**Please state the ground(s) for review (please read guidance note 1)**

There has been a fight reported at these premises involving a member of staff and a customer. The investigation into the circumstances surrounding this fight, and subsequent attempt to secure evidence, clearly demonstrate an undermining of the Prevention of Crime and Disorder Objective. The police have also raised concerns as to the effective management in relation to the retail sale of alcohol to vulnerable persons in the community which again undermines the Prevention of Crime and Disorder Objective.

**Please provide as much information as possible to support the application**  
(please read guidance note 2)

These premises are an "Off" licence and convenience store located on the Broadfield estate, Leyland.

The Premises Licence Holder and Designated Premises Supervisor are Bhalihar Singh and the licence permits the sale by retail of alcohol from 8am to 11pm, Monday to Saturday and 10am to 10.30 pm on Sundays.

In September an application was made to extend the premises licence hours from 6am in the morning to 11pm Monday to Sunday. However this was subsequently amended to opening at 7am With regards this application Mr Singh was spoken to by police licensing and conditions were agreed which resulted in the police making no representations. A letter to this effect was sent to the Local Authority on 8<sup>th</sup> October.

A copy of this letter is attached at Appendix 1.

On the afternoon of Thursday 11<sup>th</sup> October 2012, police received a report that there was a fight occurring at Singh's Stores, Bannister Drive, Leyland. The details being that the shopkeeper was fighting with a customer around the back of the shop.

Police officers attended however by this time the fight was over. Officers spoke to the shopkeeper Mr Khan who stated a customer had disputed the manner in which he had taken some money of an elderly customer. An argument ensued which resulted in Mr Khan removing the customer from the premises and whilst in the process of doing so was assaulted.

Also present in the store was Bhalihar Singh who is the Premises Licence Holder and Designated Premises Supervisor. Both Mr Singh and Mr Khan were insistent that they did not want to make a complaint, the reasons being that the offender was a good regular customer, it was out of character and he was drunk at the time.

Reference was made to the fact that the incident was caught on CCTV but they stated they were unable to access this at the time.

Mr Singh and Mr Khan were informed that a crime had been reported and enquiries would need to be conducted to finalise the incident, the intention being to identify the offender and deal with the incident using the Restorative Justice disposal. In order to achieve this CCTV would be required to enable officers to identify the customer involved.

A copy of the incident log LC-20121011-0991 is attached at Appendix 2.

Contact was made with the premises the following day by PCSO Berwick to obtain the CCTV footage and he was informed that it would be ready for collection the following day. The next day, that being Saturday 13<sup>th</sup> October, the officer attended the premises as arranged and was informed by the DPS that the footage had not been downloaded and he would re contact him when it was done. Present in the premises at this was the partner of the DPS who questioned the reason for providing the CCTV as she stated nothing had happened in the store. The reasons were outlined to her and agreement was made to have the footage downloaded and the officer contacted when this had been done. However on arrival at the police station the officer was made aware that the footage was no longer on the system so couldn't be viewed or downloaded.

A statement from PCSO Berwick is attached at Appendix 3.

Logged details of this call are attached at Appendix 4.

Believing the operators of the premises were being obstructive the investigating officers contacted police licensing to seek assistance in obtaining the CCTV.

On the afternoon of Tuesday 16<sup>th</sup> October the police licensing officer in company with the local authority licensing officer accompanied the investigating officers to the premises. Whilst there they spoke to Mandip Singh who stated he was the brother of the DPS and was looking after the shop. Mr Khan was also at the premises serving behind the counter. The issue in relation obtaining the CCTV was outlined to Mr Singh who stated they were having problems operating the system. He however assured the officers that he would try and sort it out for the next day. The issue in relation to the previous call stating the footage had gone was raised and he confirmed he had no knowledge of this.

Whilst in the premises the licensing officer witnessed two customers enter the premises, firstly a female who appeared under the influence of drink, this female made purchase of 1 can of Oranjeboom lager then left the store. Shortly after a male entered the store who again appeared under the influence of alcohol, he made a purchase of 1 can of Special Brew and was sold it by Mr Khan.

Being concerned in relation to this the officer spoke to Mr Khan and asked him if he thought that last customer was drunk, he replied that he did, he was then asked why he had served him. Mr Khan then altered his position and stated he didn't think he was drunk after all.

The officer then warned Mr Khan and reminded him of his responsibilities under the Licensing Act and that he would be committing offences if he was serving people who were drunk. He stated this wasn't the case.

The police officers left the premises and returned the next morning. At this time the DPS was in the shop as was Mandip Singh, who proceeded to play the CCTV footage.

Upon viewing the footage it soon became apparent that the initial story, as provided to the police, was in fact a fabrication and that what actually happened raised serious concerns as to the conduct of Mr Khan and the management of the premises by Mr Singh.

A copy of the footage was obtained and can be produced for viewing at the hearing.

The concerns were raised with the DPS and he was informed that the actions of his staff and his apparent condoning of what happened was unacceptable. He was further informed that there was a real concern in relation to the obvious sales of alcohol to persons on the estate who clearly had alcohol issues.

In light of this he was informed that the police would be withdrawing their initial response in relation to the variation application and replace it with a representation against the granting of the application. In addition to this review proceedings would be instigated as the police felt they would be failing in their duty if they did not bring this to the attention of the Licensing Committee.

A copy of the police representation in relation to the variation application is attached at Appendix 5.

These premises are located on an estate in a bank of other shops with Housing Association accomodation above. Some of this accomodation and other

accommodation in the vicinity are occupied by individuals who have varying degrees alcohol dependency. It is clear that these individuals see these premises as a convenient and unrestricted outlet to obtain alcohol.

The consequences of this are that once under the influence of alcohol offences are committed resulting in police attendance, it also impacts on staff from other organisations who may have to come into contact with these individuals.

As an example there is an individual who resides in an address located adjacent to the premises. This individual would appear to have alcohol dependency issues and makes their purchases from these premises. Since the beginning of the year police have received seven calls to the address reporting incidents involving the occupier and their associates who are all either drunk or under the influence of alcohol. These calls relate to domestic violence, assaults and criminal damage.

The police have no confidence in the management of these premises, it is clear that despite agreeing conditions they have demonstrated they are unable to comply with them. Further to this the police feel the management have attempted to mis-lead and obstruct the enquiry in relation to the assault, this dishonesty will unfortunately have a detrimental impact on future dealings with the premises.

The management and staff have also demonstrated a failure to discharge their legal and moral responsibility with regards the sale of alcohol. It is clear that there is no attempt to distinguish who is, or is not, suitable to be served and having sold alcohol inappropriately they give no regard as to the possible impact of these sales, not only on the individuals themselves, but on the authorities and wider community that may come into contact with them.

The police do not feel there are conditions that would be suitable to address these issues, nor would the removal of the DPS suffice as he is the premises licence holder and would still have control of the premises. Therefore the police have no alternative but to request revocation of the premises licence.

Please tick yes

Have you made an application for review relating to this premises before

If yes please state the date of that application

Day Month Year

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**If you have made representations before relating to this premises please state what they were and when you made them**

Please tick yes

- I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate
- I understand that if I do not comply with the above requirements my application will be rejected

**IT IS AN OFFENCE, LIABLE ON CONVICTION TO A FINE UP TO LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD SCALE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION**

**Part 3 – Signatures** (please read guidance note 3)

**Signature of applicant or applicant's solicitor or other duly authorised agent** (See guidance note 4). **If signing on behalf of the applicant please state in what capacity.**

Signature



Date 19<sup>th</sup> November 2012

Capacity

**Contact name (where not previously given) and postal address for correspondence associated with this application (please read guidance note 5)**  
As Above

Post town

Post Code

Telephone number (if any)

If you would prefer us to correspond with you using an e-mail address your e-mail address (optional)

**Notes for Guidance**

1. The ground(s) for review must be based on one of the licensing objectives.
2. Please list any additional information or details for example dates of problems which are included in the grounds for review if available.
3. The application form must be signed.
4. An applicant's agent (for example solicitor) may sign the form on their behalf provided that they have actual authority to do so.
5. This is the address which we shall use to correspond with you about this application.



# Lancashire Constabulary

Licensing Unit, Police Station, St Thomas's Road, Chorley, PR7 1DR

Tel: 01257 246215  
Mob: 07946177855

e-mail: [southern-licensing@lancashire.pnn.police.uk](mailto:southern-licensing@lancashire.pnn.police.uk)

8th October 2012

Licensing Officer  
South Ribble Borough Council  
Civic Centre  
West Paddock  
Leyland  
PR25 1DH

Dear Sirs

**RE: APPLICATION FOR A VARIATION OF PREMISES LICENCE**

Premises: Singh's Stores, 103/105 Bannister Drive, Leyland

There are no police representations to be made in respect of this application. However we would want the following adding as conditions of the licence as discussed and agreed with the applicant Mr Balihar Singh:-

1. All staff will be trained in licensing issues with specific training in respect of preventing under age sales and preventing the sale of alcohol to drunks..
2. Records of this training will be kept on site and made immediately available for inspection to an authorised officer or Police Officer.
3. The premises shall have an operational CCTV system which covers all entrances and exits in addition to covering the public sales area and records throughout the permitted hours for retail sales of alcohol. Recordings shall be maintained in their unedited format for at least 28 days and be provided on the reasonable request of a Police Officer or authorised officer.
4. The premises will operate a Challenge 25 policy, which will be advertised prominently throughout the alcohol display areas and at the point of sale.
5. A refusals/incident register will be maintained at the premises and used to record every instance where a sale is refused and the reason why i.e. no proof of age or customer drunk.

6. Notices will be displayed at the exits requesting customers not to cause nuisance by littering or creating noise nuisance.

Yours faithfully

Linda Robson  
Licensing Officer  
Licensing Unit, Chorley  
01257 246215

Welcome PS 1506 Bushell  
Thursday, October 25, 2012  
14:04:24  
ASP Version 3.2.0



Lancashire Constabulary Sleuth Live Sleuth

**[SLEUTH EXTRACT]**  
**Incident Report - LC-20121011-0991**

**Date:** 11/10/2012  
**Time:** 16:48 hours  
**Informant:** [REDACTED]  
**Informant Contact Numbers:** [REDACTED]  
**Informant Address:** [REDACTED]  
**Incident Location:** CL1F  
**Incident Address:** 103 SINGH BROTHERS BANNISTER DRIVE  
 LEYLAND  
**Class:** Assault  
**Type:** ASSAULT  
**Details:** FIGHT BETWEEN A SHOP KEEPER AND A  
 MALE-THEY ARE PHYSICALLY FIGHTING  
 THEY ARE ROUND BACK OF SHOP  
 FIGHTING-BANNISTER DRIVE-GIVENOUT-  
 THE CUSTOMER IS WHITE MALE AGED IN  
 30'S BLACK JACKET BLACK PANTSAND THE  
 OTHER MAL  
**Officer Dealing:** 3598  
**Involved Vehicles:**  
**Officers Attended:** 3598,  
**Call Signs Attended:** CL40L,  
**Local Requirements:** RJ NQ

**Related Actions:**

---

11/10/2012 16:48 hours 12538 12538  
 FIGHT BETWEEN A SHOP KEEPER AND A MALE

---

11/10/2012 16:50 hours 12538 12538

---

11/10/2012 16:50 hours 12538 12538  
 THEY ARE PHYSICALLY FIGHTING THEY ARE ROUND BACK OF SHOP  
 FIGHTING

---

11/10/2012 16:50 hours 12538 12538

---

11/10/2012 16:50 hours	12252	12252
BANNISTER DRIVE		
11/10/2012 16:50 hours	12252	12252
-		
11/10/2012 16:50 hours	12252	12252
GIVENOUT		
11/10/2012 16:50 hours	12252	12252
-		
11/10/2012 16:51 hours	12538	12538
THE CUSTOMER IS WHITE MALE AGED IN 30'S BLACK JACKET BLACK PANTS		
11/10/2012 16:51 hours	12538	12538
AND THE OTHER MALE WAS SHOPKEEPER		
11/10/2012 16:51 hours	12538	12538
-		
11/10/2012 16:52 hours	12538	12538
INFT WAS NOT AT SCENE AS HAD LEFT SO COULDN'T GIVE MUCH MORE INFO		
11/10/2012 16:52 hours	12538	12538
-		
11/10/2012 16:52 hours	12252	12252
ABOVE URGENT RESPONSE MARKER IS FROM 2011		
11/10/2012 16:53 hours	12538	12538
INFT STATES IT APPEARED TO BE OVER A ISSUE WITH CHANGE OR NOT BEING CHARGED RIGHT ALTHOUGH INFT THINKS FROM WHAT HE SAW HE WASNT SHORT CHANGED		
11/10/2012 16:53 hours	12252	12252
CL20 AWARE AND SOURCING BACK UP		
11/10/2012 16:53 hours	12252	12252
-		
11/10/2012 16:54 hours	12252	12252
CL40 - NO SIGN OF DISTURBANCE ON ARRIVAL		

11/10/2012 16:54 hours 12252 12252  
 -  
 11/10/2012 16:55 hours 12252 12252  
 PATROL UPDATED THAT WITNESS LAST SAW THEM GO OUT THE BACK  
 OF THE SHOP

11/10/2012 16:55 hours 12252 12252  
 -

11/10/2012 16:55 hours 12538 12538  
 QUESTION SET EXITED BEFORE COMPLETION:QUESTIONS NOT  
 APPLICABLE

11/10/2012 17:01 hours 12252 12252  
 CL40: THERE HAS BEEN SOME SORT OF ARGUMENT SORTING IT OUT

11/10/2012 17:01 hours 12252 12252  
 -

11/10/2012 17:48 hours 3598 3598  
 SPOKEN TO RASHAD KHAN 06/08/1998 3 GISBOURN ROAD MOBILE 07440  
 779219(WIFE REHAN) RASHAD HAS BEEN TAKING MONEY FROM AN OLD  
 MANS HAND FOR SOME PAYMENT AND A MALE HAS TAKEN OFFENSE

11/10/2012 17:48 hours 3598 3598  
 TO THIS AND STARTED HAVING A GO AT THE ASSISTANT HE HAS BEEN  
 REMOVED FROM THE SHOP AND IN THE PROCESS HAS HIT THE SHOP  
 ASSISTANT. THE ASSISTANT AND THE MANAGER DO NOT WANT ANY

11/10/2012 17:48 hours 3598 3598  
 ACTION TAKING AS HE IS A GOOD REGULAR CUSTOMER BUT THEY  
 STATE HE WAS DRUNK AND HE NOT USUALLY LIKE THAT. THEY DO NOT  
 KNOW HIS NAME BUT HAVE CCTV THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO ACCESS THIS

11/10/2012 17:48 hours 3598 3598  
 AT THE MOMENT. I HAVE EXPLAINED IT WILL NEED CRIMING ADVISED  
 THE BEST COURSE OF ACTION WOULD BE VIA RJ AND THEY AGREE.  
 FURTHER ENQUIRES BY MY SELF TO CONDUCT AND RJ.

11/10/2012 17:50 hours 12834 12834  
 Disposition code: `103`

11/10/2012 17:50 hours 12834 12834  
 No. of Arrests 0 F.A. 0=N 1=Y 0 No. of Reports 1

11/10/2012 17:50 hours 12834 12834  
 Handling Officer 3598

---

11/10/2012 17:50 hours 12834 12834  
Qualifiers Restorative Justice

---

11/10/2012 17:50 hours 12834 12834  
Other Factors No Qualifiers

---

11/10/2012 17:50 hours 12834 12834  
LC-20121011-0991 HAS BEEN DISPOSED

---

11/10/2012 17:50 hours 12834 12834  
If Domestic related assault broadcast incident to team leader and radio dispatcher to ensure Geographic Sergeant is aware?:NO

---

11/10/2012 17:50 hours 12834 12834  
Finished with question set?:YES

---

>  
>

**CLOSURE DETAILS**

Date / Time Closed: 11/10/2012 1750 hours

Response:	1	Result:	-1	Arrested:	0
Crime:		Racial:		Assault Police:	
Motorway:		Press:		Spatial:	
Briefing Sheet:		Management:		INTL:	

**ALARM DETAILS**

Alarm Cause: Alarm Type:

**CRIME DETAILS**

Crime Ref:	CL1204570	HOC:		Location:	
OIC:	0	VRM:			

**REPEAT VICTIMISATION DETAILS**

Victim Surname:		Victim Forename:		Victim DOB:	01/01/1900
Victim Type:		Person Index:	0	Person/Property Index:	0
Property Index:	0	Graded Response:			

**PERFORMANCE STATISTICS**

Off Attended:	1	Call Handling Time:	1m 28sec	Total Time from Despatch to Scene:	0m 0sec
Call Handling Completion to Despatch:	6m 5sec	Time for First Resource to Arrive at Scene:	43m 1sec		

**Total Officer Time Spent on Incident: 2m 14sec**

\*\*\* End Of Report \*\*\*

## Witness Statement

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of **George Alexander BERWICK**

URN


--	--	--	--

Age if under 18 Over 18 (if over 18 insert "over 18"). Occupation **Police Community Support Officer**

This statement (consisting of \_\_\_\_\_ page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.

Dated the **15** day of **Novemeber 2012**Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded   
(supply witness details on rear)

Signature



I am Police Community Support Officer **7527 BERWICK** of Lancashire Constabulary currently attached to **LEYLAND** police station.

On Saturday 13<sup>th</sup> October 2012 I was in full uniform on foot patrol when I attended 103 **BANNISTER DRIVE** and spoke with the owner and licence holder of **SINGH STORES** in regards to a recent crime which happened at their stores.

At approximately 12:00 hours I went into the store to collect CCTV which I was told would be ready. I spoke to the licence holder **Balihar SINGH** and to his wife who is the owner of the store. This is not the first time I attended **SINGH STORES**. I had requested this CCTV the day earlier (12/10/2012) and I was told that it would be done and ready to collect. I was also assured at this time that the CCTV was store on the system for a month and there was no danger of it being lost due to time elapse.

When I entered the store I spoke with **Mr SINGH** who said that the CCTV had not been burnt off yet and that he would get back to me when it was ready. Whilst talking with **Mr SINGH** his wife **Mrs SINGH** came over to the counter and said "WHY DO YOU NEED CCTV, OUR CAMERAS DO NOT COVER OUTSIDE?" I then stated to **Mrs SINGH** that the incident had started inside her stores and that this CCTV was evidence that police would need to identify the

Signature



Signature witnessed by




offender. She stated to this "WELL AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED NOTHING HAS HAPPENED INSIDE MY STORE" I emphasized that this CCTV was important and was evidence that would be needed. I asked Mr SINGH to burn this off onto a disk or pen drive and to contact me when it was ready. He agreed to this but said that it was his cousin who does this.

I then left the store and resumed foot patrol in the Broadfield area.

On my return to Leyland Police Station a colleague PCSO 7069 CARR stated that a message had been left for me. When asked what this was about she stated that SINGH STORES had been on the phone and the CCTV was no longer on the system and therefore I couldn't view/collect it.

This is when I informed the OIC.



Signature



Signature witnessed by



Welcome PS 1506 Bushell  
 Thursday, November 15, 2012  
 11:19:09  
 ASP Version 3.2.0

Lancashire Constabulary Sleuth Live Sleuth

## CaseMan Record

### Crime Details

**Crime Ref:** CL1204570 **Incident Ref:** LC-20121011-0991

**File No:** **Work Control Ref:**

**Linked Crimes:**

[Add a Linked Crime](#)

**Date:** 11/10/2012 to 11/10/2012

**HOC:** 105A-ASSAULT **State:** UNDETECTED  
 WITHOUT INJURY

**Aggrieved:** RASHAD KHAN

**Address:** 103 BANNISTER DRIVE LEYLAND PR252GD

**Division :** C **Section:** CL

**1st O.I.C:** Constable 3598 RM Jackson

**2nd O.I.C:**

**3rd O.I.C:**

**4th O.I.C:**

**Supervisor:** Sergeant 535 JCM Ainscough

**Inspector:**

**Investigation Plan:** LOCATE AND DEAL WITH OFFENDER

**Case Status:** OUTSTANDING

**Date Created:** 11/10/2012 18:09:55

**Created By:** Constable 3598 RM Jackson

**Case Completion Date:** 01/12/2012

[Request Extension of Completion Date](#)

**Case Completion Date Text:**

[Input MG5](#)[Print Case](#)[Return to Case List](#)[Edit Case](#)[Finalise Case](#)[Witnesses/Contacts](#)[Documents](#)[ERP System](#)

## Action Log

Please click [here](#) to add an ACTION/COMMENT to the Investigation Plan

[Display Outstanding Actions Only](#)[Display Completed Actions Only](#)[Display Recent Actions First](#)[Hide Sensitive Actions/Results](#)




Displaying Complete (excluding sensitive)

Flashing Actions indicate HIGH PRIORITY Case Building Actions

---

**Action No:** 3  
**Date:** 12/10/2012  
**Due Date:** 12/11/2012  
**Action:** VIOLENT CRIME - PLEASE REVIEW WITH OIC AND ADD ACTIONS TO CASEMAN. THE PACKAGE SHOULD BE KEPT IN THE VIOLENT CRIME BOX (TIER 3).

DO NOT RESULT THIS ACTION UNTIL THE INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN COMPLETED.

**Originating Document:**  
**Sensitive Action:** NO  
**Priority:** MEDIUM  
**Allocated To:** Sergeant 535 JCM Ainscough  
**Raised By:** Sergeant 1662 MA Porter  
**Add Result:**   
**Part Result this Action:**   
**Click to Print this Action:** 

Click to Re-allocate this Action:



**Action No:** 2  
**Date:** 11/10/2012  
**Due Date:** 01/12/2012  
**Action:** PLEASE VIEW/COLLECT CCTV FROM SHOP  
**Originating Document:**  
**Sensitive Action:** NO  
**Priority:** LOW  
**Allocated To:** Police Staff 7527 GA Berwick  
**Raised By:** Constable 3598 RM Jackson  
**Result:** CONTACTED BY SUNNY SINGH ON SAT 13TH OCT AT 14.16PM HE WAS ASKED TO LOCATE THE CCTV FOOTAGE OF THIS INCIDENT. HE HAS INFORMED US THAT THE FOOTAGE HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THE SYSTEM AND CANNOT BE RETRIEVED.

**Sensitive Result** NO  
**By:** Police Staff 7069 R Carr on 13/10/2012

Click to Print this Action:



Click to Add Further Results:



**Action No:** 1  
**Date:** 11/10/2012 18:09:55  
**Due Date:** 25/10/2012  
**Action:** AN PND CHECK SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN THE FOLLOWING CIRCUMSTANCES:

1. ALL PERSONS SUSPECTED OF COMMITTING A CRIME OF A SEXUAL NATURE.
2. ALL PARTIES INVOLVED IN ALL CHILD ABUSE/VULNERABLE ADULT ABUSE INVESTIGATIONS OR ALLEGATIONS.
3. ALL PARTIES INVOLVED IN COUNTER TERRORISM.
4. ALL PARTIES INVOLVED IN TACKLING MAJOR, SERIOUS AND ORGANISED CRIME.

PLEASE REFER TO LOCAL BUSINESS RULES

CLICK HERE TO COMPLETE A PND INITIAL REQUEST FORM.

**Originating Document:**  
**Sensitive Action:** NO  
**Priority:** MEDIUM

**Allocated To:** Not Yet Allocated. Click *here* to Allocate  
**Raised By:** Constable 3598 RM Jackson  
**Add Result:**   
**Part Result this Action:**   
**Click to Print this Action:**   
**Click to Re-allocate this Action:**

---

<a href="#">HomePage</a>	<a href="#">Feedback</a>	<a href="#">Div Log</a>
<a href="#">HQ Intranet</a>	<a href="#">Log Out</a>	

1506 - 15/11/2012 11:18:13

# Lancashire Constabulary

Licensing Unit, Police Station, St Thomas's Road, Chorley, PR7 1DR

Tel: 01257 246215

Fax: 01257 246217



## Lancashire Constabulary

police and communities together

e-mail: southern-licensing@lancashire.pnn.police.uk

Licensing Officer  
South Ribble Borough Council  
Civic Centre  
West Paddock  
Leyland  
PR25 1DH

25<sup>th</sup> October 2012

Dear Sir

**RE: APPLICATION FOR VARIATION OF A PREMISES LICENCE – SINGH'S STORES, 103/105 BANNISTER DRIVE, LEYLAND.**

Lancashire Constabulary is in receipt of an application for a variation of the premises for the above premises.

The variation is to allow the premises to open from 7am as opposed to 8am which is the hour currently permitted.

The police have consulted with the applicants and agreed to this variation on the agreement of conditions being imposed onto the licence and on this understanding the police made no representations to the granting of this variation.

This was communicated to South Ribble Borough Council by letter dated 8<sup>th</sup> October 2012

However after submitting this letter police licensing were made aware of a violent incident at the premises, involving a member of staff and a customer on Thursday 11<sup>th</sup> October 2012. This incident resulted in the investigating officers to request police licensing attend the premises as there was some difficulty in obtaining CCTV evidence from the premises in relation to the offence.

Police licensing attended the premises on Tuesday 16<sup>th</sup> October 2012 to facilitate the retrieval of the footage. Whilst at the premises the officers witnessed inappropriate sales of alcohol and upon viewing the CCTV footage of the incident the police now have grave concerns in relation to the management of these premises.

Therefore the police would now withdraw their previous letter dated 8<sup>th</sup> October 2012 and submit this letter as notice that they would now make a representation against the granting of this variation in that it would undermine the Prevention of Crime and Disorder objective.

Yours faithfully

Police Sergeant 1506  
(Licensing)